

Published on Web 08/14/2009

Unique Tautomeric and Recognition Properties of Thioketothymines?

Ignacio Faustino,[†] Anna Aviño,[‡] Ivan Marchán,[†] F. Javier Luque,[§] Ramon Eritja,[‡] and Modesto Orozco^{*,†,II,⊥}

Joint IRB-BSC Program on Computational Biology, Institute of Research in Biomedicine, Parc Científic de Barcelona, Josep Samitier 1–5, Barcelona 08028, Spain and Barcelona Supercomputing Centre, Jordi Girona 31, Edifici Torre Girona, Barcelona 08034, Spain, Institute of Research in Biomedicine, IQAC-CSIC, CIBER-BBN Networking Centre on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, Baldiri Reixac 15, Barcelona 08028, Spain, Departament de Fisicoquímica and Institut de Biomedicina (IBUB), Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avgda Diagonal 643, Barcelona 08028, Spain, National Institute of Bioinformatics, Parc Científic de Barcelona, Josep Samitier 1–5, Barcelona 08028, Spain, and Departament de Bioquímica, Facultat de Biología, Universitat de Barcelona, Avgda Diagonal 647, Barcelona 08028, Spain

Received June 15, 2009; E-mail: modesto@mmb.pcb.ub.es

Abstract: The tautomeric and recognition properties of thymine, 2- and 4-thioketothymines have been studied by means of accurate ab initio methods combined with molecular dynamics simulations and free energy calculations. In contrast to previous suggestions in the literature, the replacement of carbonyl oxygens by sulfur atoms does not lead to dramatic changes in tautomeric properties of the pyrimidine derivatives neither in vacuum nor in aqueous solution. Moreover, the presence of thioketothymines induces only mild changes in DNA structure, stability and fidelity. Despite the fact that mismatching can largely stabilize minor tautomeric forms, thioketothymines are found in the canonical thioketo-form irrespective of the paired base. Our theoretical results, confirmed by new experimental studies, describe the complete tautomeric and recognition characteristics of thioketothymines and demonstrate that both 2-thioketo and 4-thioketothymine are excellent molecules to introduce special chemical properties in modified DNA.

Introduction

The genetic code is a minimalist language made with only four letters (the coding nucleobases A, G, C, and T), which appear in the DNA as complementary pairs (A·T and G·C) involving the major keto/amino tautomeric forms.^{1–5} Their structure reveals how exquisite was evolution in defining bases that confer stability, specificity, and flexibility to the DNA. However, it is also clear that other chemical entities are compatible with the DNA structure.^{6,7} Some of these nonstandard bases are in fact spontaneously found in DNA as a result of chemical/physical stress (i.e., radiation or oxidation) leading to DNA damage.⁸ Additionally, synthetic bases can be introduced

- [†] Joint IRB-BSC Program on Computational Biology.
- [‡] Institute of Research in Biomedicine.
- [§] Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de Barcelona.
- ^{II} National Institute of Bioinformatics.
- [⊥] Facultat de Biología, Universitat de Barcelona.
- (1) Watson, J. D.; Crick, F. H. Nature 1953, 171, 737-738.
- (2) Colominas, C.; Luque, F. J.; Orozco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6811–6821.
- (3) Topal, M. D.; Fresco, J. R. Nature 1976, 263, 289–293.
- (4) Sanger, W. *Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1984.
- (5) Neidle, S. Nucleic Acid Structure and Recognition; Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- (6) Swann, P. F.; Waters, T. R.; Moulton, D. C.; Xu, Y. Z.; Zheng, Q.; Edwards, M.; Mace, R. Science 1996, 273, 1109–1111.
- (7) Maki, H.; Kornberg, A. J. Biol. Chem. 1985, 260, 12987-12992.
- (8) Kamiya, H. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003, 31, 517-531.

in DNA either chemically or enzymatically to induce conformational changes in nucleic acids, to modulate their intrinsic stability, to alter processing or reading of DNA, the functioning of reparatory machinery, to induce mutations or cross-linking, or to modify the recognition properties of the strands.⁹ As a result, nonstandard nucleobases can change the functionality of DNA, opening a wide range of biotechnological and biomedical applications.¹⁰

Nonstandard nucleobases are typically designed assuming the preponderance of keto/amino tautomers in DNA. The validity of this assumption is not always guaranteed, as the tautomeric preferences of nucleobases depends not only on the intrinsic (gas-phase) stability between tautomers but also on the differential stabilization exerted by the DNA environment.^{11,13,19} Thus, previous studies have shown that *N*-methyl-derivatives of cytosines¹² or isoguanine¹³ have a significant population of

- (9) Morales, J. C.; Kool, E. T. Nat. Struct. Biol. 1998, 5, 950–954.
- (10) Herdewijn, P. Antisense Nucleic Acid Drug Dev. 2000, 10, 297-310.
- (11) Hernández, B.; Soliva, R.; Luque, F. J.; Orozco, M. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000, 28, 4873–4883.
- (12) (a) Anand, N. N.; Brown, D. M.; Salisbury, S. A. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1987, 15, 8167–8176. (b) Fazakerley, G. V.; Gdaniec, Z.; Sowers, L. C. J. Mol. Biol. 1993, 230, 6–10. (c) Schuerman, G. S.; Van Meervelt, L.; Loakes, D.; Brown, D. M.; Kong Thoo Lin, P.; Moore, M. H.; Salisbury, S. A. J. Mol. Biol. 1998, 282, 1005–1011.
- (13) (a) Robinson, H.; Gao, Y. G.; Bauer, C.; Roberts, C.; Switzer, C.; Wang, A. H. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 10897–10905. (b) Blas, J. R.; Luque, F.; Orozco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 154–164.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of thymine (T, upper), 2-thioketothymine (²S), 4-thioketothymine (⁴S), and their tautomeric species.

imino or enol tautomers in the DNA duplex, and more modified nucleobases are expected to display recognition modes modulated by tautomeric equilibria.

Among the thymine (T) derivatives designed under the assumption that keto tautomers are prevalent,¹⁴ 2- and 4-thioketothymines (²S and ⁴S; Figure 1) are relevant as the reactivity of the thioketocarbonyl group can be exploited in nucleophilic and photocross-linking reactions in DNA.¹⁵ Moreover, the possibility to photoactivate thioketothymines in conditions where coding nucleobases are unaltered confer potential interest as prodrugs in the phototherapy of psoriasis and skin cancer.¹⁶ Early hybridization experiments suggested that insertion of thioketothymidine in front of either G or A showed a selectivity pattern similar to that of T, while leading to a slight destabilization of the duplex.¹⁷ However, this behavior has been challenged by more recent analysis, which suggests that one of the thioketoderivatives, ⁴S, shows mutagenic properties due to stabilization of G-mismatching.¹⁸ These findings raise doubts about the prevalence of keto/thioketo tautomers in thioketopyrimidines, suggesting a partner-dependent tautomeric preference (see below), as noted previously by other modified nucleobases.^{13,19} This behavior, if confirmed, will open interesting possibilities for the design of promiscuous nucleobases, though it will

- (14) Orozco, M.; Hernandez, B.; Luque, F. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 5228–5233.
- (15) (a) Coleman, R. S.; Siedlecki, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9229–9230. (b) Xu, Y. Z. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000, 10, 401. (c) Jing, Y.; Kao, J. F.; Taylor, J. S. Nucleic Acids Res. 1998, 26, 3845–3853. (d) Massey, A.; Xu, Y. Z.; Karran, P. Curr. Biol. 2001, 11, 1142–1146.
- (16) Massey, A.; Xu, Y. Z.; Karran, P. Curr. Biol. 2001, 11, 1142-1146.
- (17) (a) Connolly, B. A.; Newman, P. C. Nucleic Acids Res. 1989, 17, 4957–4974. (b) Massey, A.; Xu, Y. Z.; Karran, P. DNA Repair 2002, 1, 275–286. (c) Rao, T. V. S.; Haber, M. T.; Sayer, J. M.; Jerina, D. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 907–910.
- (18) Sintim, H. O.; Kool, E. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 396–397.
 (19) Spacková, N.; Cubero, E.; Sponer, J.; Orozco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- **2004**, *126*, 14642–14650.

seriously challenge the real impact of thioketothymines in the chemistry and phototherapy of nucleic acids.

A detailed comparison of the tautomeric preferences of thymine and thioketothymines in different environments, including DNA, is presented. Attention is paid to the impact of thioketothymines in the structure, recognition properties, and stability of DNA upon insertion in both canonical and mismatched positions. Our results strongly suggest that, contrary to previous suggestions, the standard Watson–Crick tautomeric rules are applicable to thioketothymines. Thus, the presence of thymine surrogates does not significantly affect the DNA structure and only introduces marginal destabilization in the duplex, without altering the DNA pairing fidelity and therefore lacking mismatch-related mutagenic properties. Overall, our results demonstrate that thioketothymines can be safely used as surrogates of T with improved reactive properties and pharmacological activities.

Methods

Gas-Phase Calculations. High level ab initio theory was used to investigate the intrinsic (gas-phase) tautomeric preferences of T, ${}^{2}S$, and ${}^{4}S$. For this purpose all possible tautomers of the N1methyl derivatives were generated (Figure 1) and fully optimized at the MP2/6-311G(d,p) level. Single-point calculations at the MP2/ cc-pVDZ, MP2/cc-pVTZ, and MP2/cc-pVQZ levels were carried out to estimate the energy differences between tautomers using Truhlar's extrapolation scheme²⁰ to complete basis set (almost identical results were obtained using Erlangen's extrapolation scheme²¹). Higher-order electron correlation effects were accounted for by adding the difference between MP2 and CCSD(T) energies using the 6-31G(d) basis set. Zero-point, thermal, and entropic terms needed to compute tautomerization free energies were added by using the harmonic oscillator model implemented in Gaussian03²² at the MP2/6-311G(d,p) level. Combining all of these corrections, we obtained our best estimate that are expected to be within a few tenths of the real value in kcal/mol.

To check the goodness of classical force fields to represent canonical and noncanonical pairings of thymine and thioketothymines with A and G, the corresponding dimers (Figure 2) were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The final structures were subjected to single-point force field calculations, as well as to additional ab initio computations at the HF/6-311+G(d,p) and MP2/ 6-31G(d) levels, which were combined using standard protocols to derive MP2/6-311+G(d,p) estimates of the interaction energy (basis set superposition error was corrected using the counterpoise method.²³ Comparison of the values obtained here with state of the art (CCSD(T)/CBS-quality) results reported by Sponer and Hobza²⁴ suggests that our best (MP2/6-311+G(d,p)-quality) estimates correlate well with the "gold-standard" reference values, except for a constant scaling factor of 1.17, which was introduced as an empirical correction to our reference BSSE-free MP2/6-311+G(d,p) values.

Solvation Calculations. To examine the impact of solvation in the tautomeric population of T and its thioketo-derivatives, the relative hydration free energies of tautomers was determined using (i) quantum mechanical self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) calculations and (ii) thermodynamic integration coupled to molecular dynamics simulations (MD/TI).

Within the SCRF framework the differential hydration between tautomers A and B ($\Delta\Delta G_{hvd}^{B-A}$) was determined from the hydration

- (20) Truhlar, D. G. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 294, 45-48.
- (21) Halkier, A.; Helgaker, T.; Jørgensen, P.; Klopper, W. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1999, 302, 437–446.
- (22) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 03, Revision C.02*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- (23) Boys, S.; Bernardi, F. Mol. Phys. 1970, 19, 553.
- (24) Sponer, J.; Jurecka, P.; Hobza, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10142– 10151.



Figure 2. Different pairing schemes considered in this study for recognition of adenine and guanine. X = O or S.

free energies of those tautomers (ΔG_{hyd}^A and ΔG_{hyd}^B) computed using our HF/6-31G(d)-optimized version²⁵ of the IEF/MST method.²⁶ In contrast, MD/TI calculations yield directly the relative hydration free energy between tautomers ($\Delta \Delta G_{hyd}^{B-A}$) from the reversible work required to mutate tautomer A to tautomer B in aqueous solution. Every mutation was performed in both forward (A→B) and reverse (B→A) directions and using in each case either 21 or 41 windows. Each of these windows (40 ps) was divided in two halves, which means that 8 independent estimates were derived for each $\Delta \Delta G_{hyd}^{B-A}$ value, thus allowing us to estimate the statistical confidence of the mean values. Additionally, mutations defining futile cycles (A→B→C→A) were performed to further check the statistical error in our estimates. Noteworthy, all the futile cycles considered here were closed with an error lower than 0.4 kcal/mol.

Finally, the tautomerization free energy in aqueous solution $(\Delta G_{taut}^{A\to B}(sol); eq 1)$ was derived by adding the best estimate of the gas-phase tautomerization free energy $(\Delta G_{taut}^{A\to B}(gas))$ to the differential hydration free energy between tautomers $(\Delta \Delta G_{her}^{B\to A})$.

$$\Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{sol}) = \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{gas}) + \Delta \Delta G_{\text{hyd}}^{A \to B}$$
(1)

DNA Simulations. To examine the impact of thioketothymines in the DNA, two models systems, d(CGCGAXGACGCG)· d(CGCGTCYTCGCG), and d(CGCGAXTACGCG)·d(CGCGTA-YTCGCG) (X = T, ²S or ⁴S; Y = G or A), were considered. They are close to Dickerson's dodecamer, which has been largely studied by us and other groups,^{27,28a} but display a central triad equal to that used in experimental studies on the stability of thioketothyminecontaining DNA duplexes.^{17b,18} For each sequence structural models of A·T, A·²S, A·⁴S, G·T(keto), G·²S(thioketo), G·⁴S(thioketo), G·T(enol), and G·⁴S(thiol) were built up using as reference the structure equilibrated after 1 μ s MD simulation of Dickerson's dodecamer.²⁸ The 16 systems were neutralized by a suitable number of Na⁺ ions and hydrated with around 4000 water molecules. Each



 $\Delta\Delta G_{stab}(X \rightarrow Y) = \Delta G(4) - \Delta G(3) = \Delta G(2) - \Delta G(1)$

Figure 3. Example of thermodynamic cycle used to determine the contribution of mutation $A \rightarrow A'$ to the stability of the DNA duplex.

system was optimized, thermalized, and equilibrated using our standard protocol with extended equilibration periods.²⁹ Production runs were extended for 50 ns, using the last 10 ns to characterize the structural aspects of the duplexes.

MD/TI calculations were performed to explore the impact of different tautomers in the A·X and G·X recognition. To this end, different mutations were studied starting from the structure collected at the end of the MD simulations (see above). First, we analyzed the difference in reversible work associated to mutations between canonical keto(thioketo)/amino tautomers of T and thioketothymines in both duplexes and isolated single strands (Figure 3), as it provides the difference in stability in X·Y pairs induced by changing X from T to ²S and ⁴S (Y = G or A). Following our standard protocols aimed at reducing noise, ^{13b,19} mutations in the single strand were performed for 5-mers of sequences d(GAXTA) and d(GAXGA), which were equilibrated for 5 ns prior to the mutation. MD/TI calculations were also used to investigate the possibility that enolimino or thiol-imino forms played a role in mismatched G·X pairs (it does not make chemical sense to study the same for A·X pairs).

⁽²⁵⁾ Soteras, I.; Curutchet, C.; Bidon-Chanal, A.; Orozco, M. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 2005, 727, 29–40.

⁽²⁶⁾ Curutchet, C.; Orozco, M.; Luque, F. J. J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 1180–1193.

^{(27) (}a) Drew, H. R.; Wing, R. M.; Takano, T.; Broka, C.; Tanaka, S.; Itakura, K.; Dickerson, R. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1981**, *78*, 2179–2183. (b) Noy, A.; Pérez, A.; Lankas, F.; Javier Luque, F.; Orozco, M. J. Mol. Biol. **2004**, *343*, 627–638.

^{(28) (}a) Pérez, A.; Luque, F.; Orozco, M. J. Am 2007, 129, 14739–14745.
(b) Orozco, M.; Pérez, A.; Noy, A.; Luque, F. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 350–364. (c) Cheatham, T. E.; Kollman, P. A. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2000, 51, 435–471. (d) Cheatham, T. E.; Kollman, P. A. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2000, 51, 435–471. (e) Beveridge, D.; McConnell, K. J. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2000, 10, 182–196.

 ^{(29) (}a) Shields, G. C.; Laughton, C. A.; Orozco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7463–7469. (b) Soliva, R.; Laughton, C. A.; Luque, F. J.; Orozco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11226–11233.

For this purpose mutations of keto/thioketo-amino to enol/thiolimino forms were performed in both duplexes in the central T or S. The reversible work associated with these processes can be assimilated to a "specific-DNA solvation" effect ($\Delta\Delta G_{\rm solvDNA}^{A-B}$), which can be combined with the intrinsic gas-phase tautomerization ($\Delta G_{\rm taut}^{A-B}$ (gas)) to obtain the tautomerization free energy in the duplex ($\Delta G_{\rm taut}^{A-B}$ (DNA); eq 2).

$$\Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}) = \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{gas}) + \Delta \Delta G_{\text{solvDNA}}^{A \to B}$$
(2)

where A and B stands for two tautomeric forms of thymine or thioketothymine.

Note that in the case where eq 2 reveals that for one of the pairings the canonical keto/thioketo tautomer is not the most stable one, the difference in stability due to a $T \rightarrow S$ mutation derived from thermodynamic cycles in Figure 3 has to be corrected to account for the presence of an alternative tautomer (see eq 3a).

$$\Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}} = \Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}}(A, A') \text{ if } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) > 0 \text{ and } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) > 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$\Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}} = \Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}}(A, A') - \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) \text{ if } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) < 0 \text{ and } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) > 0 \quad (3b)$$

$$\Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}} = \Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}}(A, A') + \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) \text{ if } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) > 0 \text{ and } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) < 0 \quad (3c)$$

$$\Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}} = \Delta\Delta G_{T \to S}^{\text{stab}}(A, A') + \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) - \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) \text{ if } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A \to B}(\text{DNA}, T) < 0 \text{ and } \Delta G_{\text{taut}}^{A' \to B'}(\text{DNA}, S) < 0 \quad (3d)$$

where A and A' stand for the canonical tautomer (keto/thioketo) of thymine (T) and thioketothymine (S), respectively, and B and B' stands for the corresponding enol/thiol tautomer. Note that the correction is zero if the canonical tautomers are the dominant species in the duplex.

Finally, MD/TI calculations were used to investigate the differential stability of $A \cdot X$ pairings with respect to $G \cdot X$ mismatches. To this end, $A \rightarrow G$ (and $G \rightarrow A$) mutations in the duplex and in a single stranded oligonucleotide (see above) were performed to determine the "stabilization" free energy of the mismatch using standard thermodynamic cycles. Note that these values can be combined (using equations above) with the "stabilization" energies for T \rightarrow S mutation (paired to A or G) and with the tautomerization free energy to obtain a full thermodynamic description of the tautomeric/binding scenario of T and S in both normal and mismatched DNAs.

All MD/TI mutations in DNA were performed following the same protocol described above for pure solvent calculations, except for $G \rightarrow A$ (and $A \rightarrow G$) mutations, where the simulation times were 3-fold larger to ensure convergence. In all cases mutations were carefully monitored to guarantee the lack of hysteresis effects and the goodness of original and final points. As in pure solvent calculations, 8 independent estimates were determined, and futile cycles were designed to check the statistical quality of our estimates.

Technical Details of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. All MD simulations were carried out in the isothermal–isobaric ensemble (NPT; 1 atm, 298 K) using periodic boundary conditions and the Particle Mesh Ewald.³⁰ An integration step of 2 fs was

used in conjunction with SHAKE,31 which guarantees that all chemical bonds are kept at equilibrium distances. The latest version of the AMBER force-field, including parmBSC0 corrections³² was used to describe standard nucleotides and nucleic acids. Parameters for thioketothymines (Supporting Information, Table S1) were obtained from different sources: (i) equilibrium parameters for bonded terms were taken from B3LYP optimized geometries, (ii) van der Waals parameters for sulfur were taken from a previous work,¹⁹ and (iii) atomic charges for every tautomer were fitted using the standard RESP procedure using HF-31G(d) wave functions.³ Since the accuracy of the force-field parameters to represent A·X and $G \cdot X$ (X = T, ²S and ⁴S) interactions is a crucial requisite we made an effort in analyzing the quality and compatibility of the derived force-field parameters. Results demonstrate that AMBER interaction energies correlate extremely well ($c = 1.00; r^2 = 0.99$) with scaled-MP2/6-311+G(d) estimates for the 9 systems considered here (see Supporting Information, Figure S1). Accordingly, the largest source of errors is expected to be statistical noise or uncertainties related to incomplete samplings in the simulations, not force-field artifacts.

All quantum mechanical calculations were done with a local version of Gaussian03 that incorporates the MST method. MD simulations were carried out using different modules of the AMBER9.0 suite of programs³⁴ and the GIBBS module in AMBER5.0. Analysis was mainly done using the PCAZIP suite of programs (http://mmb.pcb.ub.es/pcazip) and standard tools in AMBER. All calculations were carried out in the *MareNostrum* supercomputer at the Barcelona Supercomputing Centre and in local computers in our laboratory.

Experimental Studies. Because of the controversy in the experimental data available in the literature, specific experiments were designed to check the validity of the results derived from our theoretical models. To this end, we created DNAs containing either thymines or thioketothymines. Several sequences (including those studied in previous works) were considered, but detailed results are reported only for 5'-GCAATGGAXCCTCTA-3'/3'-CGTTAC-CTYGGAGAT-5' (X = T, ${}^{2}S$ and ${}^{4}S$; Y = A, G, C, T). Oligodeoxynucleotides were prepared using an Applied Biosystems 3400 DNA synthesizer. The corresponding 2-thioketothymidine and 4-thioketothymidine 2-cyanoethyl phosphoramidites were from commercial sources. 2-Thioketothymidine (Glen Research, USA) was protected with the toluoyl group. 4-Thioketothymidine (Link Tecknologies. Scotland) was protected with the 2-cyanoethyl group. The protecting groups of the natural bases were benzoyl for A and C and dimethylformamidine for G. The standard LV200 synthesis cycle and 0.02 M iodine solution was used. Syntheses were performed with the removal of the last DMT group (DMT off). Oligonucleotides carrying ²S were deprotected in concentrated ammonia (50 °C, 1 h). Three different protocols were considered for deprotection of oligonucleotides carrying ⁴S: (i) concentrated ammonia (50 °C, 1 h), (ii) 1 M 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7ene (DBU) in acetonitrile (room temperature, 3 h) followed by 50 mM NaSH in concentrated ammonia (room temperature, 24 h; supplier's recommendation), and (iii) 50 mM NaSH in concentrated ammonia (room temperature, 24 h). All three deprotection protocols gave a similar HPLC profile. Finally, synthesized oligonucleotides were purified using reversed-phase HPLC. Solutions were as follows: Solvent A, 5% acetonitrile in 100 mM triethylammonium

⁽³⁰⁾ Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 10089– 10092.

⁽³¹⁾ Ryckaert, J. P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. C. J. Comput. Phys. 1977, 23, 327.

^{(32) (}a) Perez, A.; Marchan, I.; Svozil, D.; Sponer, J.; Cheatham, T.; Laughton, C.; Orozco, M. *Biophys. J.* 2007, *92*, 3817–3829. (b) Spellmeyer, D. C.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, *117*, 5179–5197. (c) Cheatham, T. E.; Cieplak, P.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 1999, *16*, 845–862.

⁽³³⁾ Bayly, C. I.; Cieplak, P.; Cornell, W.; Kollman, P. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 10269–10280.

⁽³⁴⁾ Case, D. A.; Cheatham, T. E., III; Darden, T.; Gohlke, H.; Luo, R.; Merz JR, K. M.; Onufriev, A.; Simmerling, C.; Wang, B.; Woods, R. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1668–1688.

Table 1. Tautomerization Free Energy (kcal/mol) for Tautomers of N1-Methylthymine (T), 2-Thioketothymine (${}^{2}S$), and 4-Thioketothymine (${}^{4}S$) in the Gas Phase Determined at Different Levels of Theory^a

tautomer	MP2/6- 3111G(d,p)	MP2/cc- pVDZ	MP2/cc- pVTZ	MP2/cc- pVQZ	∆CCSD(T)/ MP2	best estimate CCSD(T)/CBS
T_H2c	18.3	18.4	17.4	17.2	-0.2	16.6
T_H2t	29.5	29.6	27.9	27.7	-0.6	26.6
T_H4c	12.4	12.4	11.4	11.2	0.1	10.8
T_H4t	19.8	19.6	17.7	17.4	-0.1	16.7
2S_H2c	15.6	15.6	15.7	15.6	-0.9	15.0
2S_H2t	20.3	21.3	20.8	20.6	-1.2	19.7
2S_H4c	12.0	12.2	11.3	11.2	0.3	11.0
2S_H4t	19.8	19.7	17.9	17.6	0.0	17.1
4S_H2c	17.6	17.9	17.0	16.9	-0.1	16.4
4S_H2t	29.3	29.7	28.1	28.0	-0.4	27.0
4S_H4c	10.9	10.4	10.7	10.7	-0.7	10.3
4S_H4t	13.2	13.5	13.0	12.8	-0.9	12.2

^{*a*} The best estimate (quality CBS/CCSD(T)) is displayed in italics. All values are referred to the canonical keto/amino or thioketo/amino tautomers (see Figure 1 for nomenclature).

acetate (pH 6.5); solvent B, 70% acetonitrile in 100 mM triethylammonium acetate pH 6.5. Columns: Nucleosil 120C18 (10 μ m), 200 mm × 10 mm. Flow rate: 3 mL/min. Conditions: 20 min linear gradient from 0–50% B. Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF): 15mer with 4ST: found 4569.4, expected 4568; 15mer with 2ST: found 4568.5, expected 4568. UV: 15mer with 4ST max 259.3 and 337.8; 15mer with 2ST max 260.4.

Melting experiments were performed to determine the relative stability of the duplexes. For this purpose solutions of equimolar amounts of oligonucleotides (5'-GCAATGGAXCCTCTA-3'/3'-CGTTACCTYGGAGAT-5') were mixed in 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0. The solutions were heated to 90 °C, allowed to cool slowly to room temperature, and stored at 4 °C. UV absorption spectra and melting experiments (absorbance vs temperature) were recorded in 1-cm path-length cells using a spectrophotometer, with a temperature controller and a programmed temperature increase rate of 1 °C/min. Melts were run by duplicate using a strand concentration of 7–8 μ M at 260 nm. Melting curves were analyzed by computer-fitting the denaturation data using Meltwin 3.5 software. On the basis of multiple experiments, the uncertainty in $T_{\rm m}$ values was estimated at \pm 0.7 °C.

Results and Discussion

Gas-Phase Studies. High level ab initio calculations demonstrate that the tautomeric preference of T, ²S, and ⁴S in the gas phase is vastly dominated by the canonical keto/thioketo forms (Table 1). The convergence in the results with respect to the level of calculation is almost perfect, suggesting that our best estimates (quality CBS/CCSD(T)) are very accurate. The canonical keto form is the most stable tautomer of T (by almost 11 kcal/mol), indicating that there are 10^8 thymines in the keto tautomer for each one in the enol (T_H4c) form. No relevant changes in the tautomerization scenario in vacuum are found when ²S or ⁴S are considered (Table 1). In summary, contrary to previous suggestions,¹⁸ the intrinsic tautomeric preferences of T and its thioketothymine analogues are almost identical and strongly favor Watson-Crick pairing, which is in contrast with the behavior found for cytosine, which exists in the gas phase in an "unusual" imino tautomeric form.13b,35

Aqueous Simulations. Water typically stabilizes more polar tautomers with respect to the canonical forms (see Table 2). However, even though the quantitative effect of hydration in the tautomeric stability can be very large (up to 10 kcal/mol

Table 2. Hydration Free Energy (Relative to the Respective Canonical Tautomers) of the Different Tautomers of *N*1-Methylthymine (T), 2-Thioketothymine (²S), and 4-Thioketothymine (⁴S) Determined from MST-SCRF and MD/TI Simulations^a

tautomer	$\Delta G_{ ext{taut}}$ gas phase	$\Delta G_{ m sol}$ (MST)	$\Delta G_{\rm sol}$ (MD/TI)	$\Delta G_{ m taut}$ water (MST)	$\Delta G_{\rm taut}$ water (MD/TI)
T_H2c	16.6	-3.6	-2.8	13.0	14.8
T_H2t	26.6	-9.6	-7.6	17.0	19.0
T_H4c	10.8	-1.7	-1.5	9.1	9.3
T_H4t	16.7	-6.5	-5.4	10.2	11.3
² S_H2c	15.0	-0.7	-1.9	14.3	13.1
² S_H2t	19.7	-2.9	-3.2	16.8	16.5
² S_H4c	11.0	-3.2	-1.3	7.8	9.7
² S_H4t	17.1	-8.2	-6.2	8.9	10.9
⁴ S_H2c	16.4	-5.1	-5.5	11.3	10.9
⁴ S_H2t	27.0	-11.0	-9.7	16.0	17.3
⁴ S_H4c	10.3	1.5	-0.3	11.8	10.0
⁴ S_H4t	12.2	-0.1	-1.9	12.1	10.3

^{*a*} Solvation free energies are added to the best estimates of the gas-phase tautomerization free energy (first column; taken from Table 1) to obtain the tautomerization free energy in aqueous solution. All values are in kcal/mol.

for some unusual tautomers), no significant changes are expected in the population of the most stable tautomers, and the keto/ thicketo forms are predicted to be the dominant species for T, 2 S, and 4 S in water. In particular, the keto/thioketo→enol/thiol tautomerism involving O/S at position 4 is not significantly modified by the effect of water, which argues against the presence of these enol forms in physiological conditions. It has to be recognized that MD/TI and MST estimates of solvation effects are more prone to numerical uncertainties than the gasphase CCSD(T)/CBS tautomerization free energies and that the free energy estimates in Table 2 might be not as accurate as the gas-phase values. However, the excellent agreement found between MD/TI and MST/SCRF calculations (also found in previous studies on similar systems^{13b}) gives confidence to the estimated differences in hydration free energies (see Table 2 and Figure S2, Supporting Information), and to the tautomerization free energy in aqueous solution, for which errors are not expected to be larger than 1 kcal/mol.

DNA Simulations. Equilibrium MD simulations on d(CGCGAXGACGCG) • d(CGCGTCYTCGCG) and d(CGCGAX-TACGCG)·d(CGCGTAYTCGCG), where X = T, ²S, and ⁴S in their standard keto/thioketo tautomeric form, were performed to study the structural impact of introducing (i) G • T mismatches and (ii) thioketothymines into DNA duplexes. All trajectories were stable, and the helical B-like structures were always well preserved during the entire simulation (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Thus, the DNA appeared as a very robust structure, able to accommodate local distortions and keeping the general conformation unaltered. The structural impact of having ⁴S or ²S instead of T paired to A is negligible, and the small variations detected in helical and groove parameters (Table S2, Supporting Information) are within the thermal noise of the reference simulation. G·T and G·S mismatches (for standard keto/thioketo tautomers) lead to wobble pairings associated with local distortions clearly visible in roll and twist parameters (see examples in Figure S4, Supporting Information). As expected, wobble interactions define a very flexible pattern of contacts in $G \cdot T$ and $G \cdot S$ mismatches, where breathing is much more common and severe than in canonical A·T and noncanonical $A^{2}S$ steps, which conserve the Watson–Crick pairing since sulfur atom in 2-thioketothymine is not involved in hydrogen bonding (see Figure 2), while G • T and G • S mismatches hardly

⁽³⁵⁾ Kobayashi, R. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 10813-10817.



Figure 4. Representation of hydrogen bonding and stacking interactions found in simulations of canonical A·X, wobble G·X, and Watson–Crick-like G·X (enol/thiol) pairings.

maintain two hydrogen bonds along the trajectory (see Figure S5, Supporting Information). No major structural differences are found in $d(G \cdot S)$ pairs compared to the $d(G \cdot T)$ ones, though the presence of sulfur atom at position 2 decreases the percentage of time in which bases are hydrogen-bonded (see Figure S5, Supporting Information and below) but keeping canonical structure without inducing large distortions during simulation time since stacking interactions are well conserved (see Table 4 and Figure S3, Supporting Information).

Finally, we performed simulations for duplexes d(CGCGAX-GACGCG) • d(CGCGTCYTCGCG) and d(CGCGAXTACGCG) • d(CGCGTAYTCGCG) (X being the unusual enol/thiol tautomeric form of $T/^4S$ and Y = G). All trajectories were stable, sampling B-DNA conformers close to the canonical helix (Figure S3, Supporting Information) and displaying the expected triple Watson-Crick-like hydrogen-bond scheme at the substitution site (see Figure 4 and Figure S5, Supporting Information) with very little distortions from ideal values. Disruption movements in helical space, which were common in duplexes containing G·X mismatches, (where X was in the canonical keto/thioketo form) are drastically reduced when X is in the enol/thiol form (Figures S4 and S5, Supporting Information). As previously noted by others,¹⁸ these findings suggest that the DNA environment favors enol/thiol tautomers when T/S are paired with G (but obviously not to A). However, it is unclear whether those pairings with G are strong enough to justify the presence in the DNA of tautomers with low intrinsic stability (10-11 kcal/ mol lower than the canonical tautomer; see Table 1).

To examine the importance of enol/thiol tautomers of T/S in the DNA duplex and to analyze the preference between $G \cdot X$ and $A \cdot X$ pairings, MD/TI simulations were run, from which we estimate (i) the change in stability induced by the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation paired to T, ²S, or ⁴S in their standard keto/thioketo forms, (ii) the change in stability due to the $T \rightarrow {}^{2}S$ and $T \rightarrow {}^{4}S$ mutations (in their standard keto/thioketo forms) paired to A or G, and (iii) the change in stability of $G \cdot T$ or $G \cdot {}^{4}S$ pairs due to the tautomeric change of T or ${}^{4}S$ from the keto/thioketo species to the 4-enol/thiol one. This vast set of calculations (performed for two different sequences and replicated to obtain 8 individual replicas of each value) allowed us to examine the stability of pairing/mismatching/ tautomerism for thymine and thioketothymines.

The first set of MD/TI calculations was designed to determine the change in stability induced by the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation paired to

Table 3. Change in Free Energy (kcal/mol) Associated with the $A \rightarrow G$ Mutation in the Two Sequences Considered Here (Identified by the Central Triad)^{*a*}

mutation	central triad (X)	comp base	$\Delta\Delta G$ (stab)	exptl data (lit.) ^b
A→G	AXG	Т	1.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ^c /1.7
	AXT	Т	1.6 ± 0.2	$1.7^{d}/2.4$
A→G	AXG	^{2}S	2.2 ± 0.2	1.7 ^c /3.4
	AXT	^{2}S	2.1 ± 0.2	
A→G	AXG	^{4}S	1.7 ± 0.1	$-0.5^{\circ}/-0.7$
	AXT	⁴ S	1.5 ± 0.2	$1.9^{d}/2.6$

^{*a*} Values were computed/measured with the complementary pyrimidine equal to thymine (T), 2-thioketothymine (²S), and 4-thioketothymine (⁴S). Standard errors are also shown. *The case of large discrepancy with the literature is in italics.* ^{*b*} Values after the slash refers to estimates obtained from a linear regression (see ref 40) with melting temperatures reported in the corresponding paper. ^{*c*} Data from ref 18. ^{*d*} Data from ref 17b.

Table 4. Hydrogen-Bond Interaction (in the Central Pair) and Stacking (Both Intra- and Interstrand for the Central Triad) Energies for the Substitution Site in the Two Different Sequences Used in Our Simulations^a

pair	central triad (X)	E(hbond)	E(stack)	E(tot)
Т•А	AXG	-11.2	-30.2	-41.4
	AXT	-11.1	-29.4	-40.5
T∙G	AXG	-13.2	-33.0	-46.2
	AXT	-13.9	-28.7	-42.6
$^{2}S \cdot A$	AXG	-10.1	-32.4	-42.5
	AXT	-9.9	-31.4	-41.3
² S•G	AXG	-10.1	-32.6	-42.7
	AXT	-10.5	-31.3	-41.8
⁴ S•A	AXG	-9.6	-31.1	-40.8
	AXT	-9.6	-31.0	-40.6
⁴ Sthiol•G	AXG	-19.7	-35.2	-54.9
	AXT	-20.0	-30.7	-50.6
⁴ S•G	AXG	-11.8	-35.1	-46.9
	AXT	-12.4	-30.3	-42.7
Tenol•G	AXG	-25.4	-34.6	-59.9
	AXT	-25.3	-30.7	-56.0

^{*a*} All values are in kcal/mol.

T, ²S, or ⁴S in their keto/thioketo form. Despite the complexity of the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation in the duplex (it implies a change of hydrogen-bond pattern from Watson-Crick to wobble pairings), all mutations happened smoothly, without any apparent discontinuity indicative of hysteresis effects (see Figure S6, Supporting Information for examples). The independent estimates of the reversible work associated with each mutation also agreed well (see Figure S7, Supporting Information for examples), allowing us to determine the free energy associated to the transduction change for an adenine to a guanine with very small statistical uncertainties. Results in Table 3 demonstrate that the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation is associated with a significant destabilization of the duplex (between 1.5 and 2.1 kcal/mol), which seems to be quite independent of the sequence at the mutation site (AXG or AXT) and of the nature of the pyrimidine (T or S). Analysis of the energetic contributions due to hydrogen-bond and stacking interactions in the central trimer indicates that the poor stability of G·X wobble pairs compared to canonical Watson-Crick A·X ones is not related to either poor stacking or weak hydrogen bonds (see Table 4) but probably to the mechanical distortion in the helix related to the wobble pairing geometry (see Figure S6, Supporting Information) and to the large cost of dehydrating a guanine (9.1 kcal/mol larger than that of an adenine according to our MST calculations).

It is worth noting that our theoretical simulations agree with the available data in the literature in all cases but for the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation in presence of ⁴S, where our results are close to the

Table 5. Free Energy Change Associated with the Substitution of Thymine by Thioketothymine (Keto and Thioketo Tautomers) in the Two Sequences Considered Here (Identified by the Central Triad)^{*a*}

mutation	central triad (X)	comp base	$\Delta\Delta G(\text{stab})$	exptl data (lit.) ^b
T→ ⁴ S	AXG	А	-0.3 ± 0.2	0.4 ^c /0.8
	AXT	А	0.4 ± 0.1	$0.4^{d}/0.8$
T→ ⁴ S	AXG	G	0.3 ± 0.2	$-1.8^{\circ}/-2.2$
	AXT	G	-0.3 ± 0.1	$0.4^{d}/1.1$
T→ ² S	AXG	А	-0.5 ± 0.2	$0.1^{c}/0.0$
	AXT	А	-0.7 ± 0.2	$-0.9^{e}/-0.9$
T→ ² S	AXG	G	0.5 ± 0.2	$0.1^{c}/1.1$
	AXT	G	0.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ^e /0.5

^{*a*} Values were computed/measured with the complementary purine equal to G or A. Standard errors in the theoretical estimates are displayed. All values are in kcal/mol. *The case of large discrepancy with the literature is in italics.* ^{*b*} Values after the slash refers to estimates obtained from a linear regression (see ref 40) with melting temperatures reported in the corresponding paper. ^{*c*} Data from ref 18. ^{*d*} Data from ref 17b. ^{*e*} Data from Haynes's group on LNA, ref 39.

Table 6. Change in Stability of the Duplex Due to the Tautomeric Change from Keto/Thioketo Forms to the Enol/Thiol Species for T and ⁴S Paired to G $(\Delta\Delta G(\text{stab}))^a$

mutation	central triad (X)	$\Delta G(\text{solv})$	ΔG (int)	$\Delta\Delta G$ (stab)
⁴ S→ ⁴ S_H4C	AXG	-9.2 ± 0.3	10.3	1.1 ± 0.3
	AXT	-7.9 ± 0.2	10.3	2.4 ± 0.2
T→T_H4C	AXG	-8.1 ± 0.2	10.8	2.7 ± 0.2
	AXT	-7.7 ± 0.2	10.8	3.1 ± 0.2

^{*a*} The solvation term ($\Delta G(\text{solv})$) accounts for the effect of DNA, counterions, and water on the equilibrium computed from MD/TI calculations. The intramolecular term ($\Delta G(\text{int})$) represents the intrinsic free energy of tautomerization and is computed at the QM level (see Table 1). All values are in kcal/mol.

values reported by Karran^{17b} but deviate more than 2 kcal/mol from more recent estimates.¹⁸ To determine whether this discrepancy might be attributed to errors in the simulation conditions, T (in the keto form and paired to A or G) was mutated to ²S or ⁴S (in the thicketo species and paired to the same purine) and the theoretical values were compared with experimental data in the literature. These mutations are technically simpler and easier to obtain with small noise, and hence they are ideal to detect inconsistencies in the previous sets of calculations. The results (Table 5) confirm those shown in Table 3 (in fact all futile cycles can be closed with errors close to zero; see Figure S7, Supporting Information). There is a good agreement with all experimental data with the only exception of the $T \rightarrow {}^{4}S$ mutation in the presence of G, where our simulations predict small changes in stability differing by around 2 kcal/mol from the estimates reported in ref 18. Inspection of the different interaction terms (see Table 4) suggests that the $T \rightarrow S$ mutation (for keto/thicketo tautomers) is small due to the balance between the lost of hydrogen-bond energy (sulfur is a poorer H-bond acceptor than oxygen) and the gain in stacking energy related to the stronger dispersive interactions of the sulfur.

The preceding results demonstrate that statistical errors in the simulations protocols cannot be responsible of the sizable discrepancies between theoretical results and experimental data given in reference.¹⁸ Nevertheless, such a difference could be originated from the presence of a thiol tautomer of ⁴S able to form a Watson–Crick-like pairing with G. To check this possibility (see Methods), keto (T) and thioketo (⁴S) tautomers were mutated into the corresponding 4-enol and 4-thiol species in the presence of G, and the associated free energy was determined using MD/TI simulations. The results (Table 6) demonstrate the dramatic effect of DNA stabilizing enol/thiol tautomers when T (around 8 kcal/mol) or ⁴S (up to 9 kcal/mol) are paired to G. However, such a large stabilization, which reflects the formation of the third G·X hydrogen bond (see Table 4), does not suffice to invert the intrinsic tautomeric preferences of neither T nor ⁴S (see Tables 1 and 6). Thus, our calculations suggest that the keto→enol mutation of T in DNA (paired to G) is disfavored by 3 kcal/mol, and such a difference amounts to 1.1-2.4 kcal/mol for the thicketo—thicl mutation of ⁴S. This means that the enol form of T, which populates only $1/10^8$ in the gas phase $(1/10^7 \text{ in aqueous solution})$, has a population of $1/10^2$ in the mismatched (G•T) DNA. For the thiol form of ⁴S, which was in the range of $1/10^7$ in the gas or aqueous phase, the population increases to a sizable 1/5-1/50 when paired in front of G in a DNA duplex. It is then clear that mismatched pairings in duplex DNA has a dramatic effect in the tautomeric scenario of thymine and thioketothymines, but even in the case of A→G transductions keto/thioketo tautomers are the dominant species in DNAs. Accordingly, our data strongly support the wobble scheme as the prevalent pairing for both G·T and G·S pairings, even though a small fraction of thiol tautomer might be expected for G·4S dimers. Note that these results agree with the known tautomeric preferences of T in DNA, in particular in G·T mismatches,^{17b} but not with recent suggestions derived from experimental data and semiempirical calculations for ⁴S,¹⁸ which suggested the thiol tautomer (4S_H4c) as the active species in G^{•4}S recognition in duplex DNA.

Overall, present theoretical calculations support Karran's experimental results and suggest that (i) the presence of ²S or ⁴S in the DNA does not dramatically alter the structure or stability of the duplex when paired to A and (ii) thioketothymines, including ⁴S, respond as T to the presence of a X·G mismatch. Moreover, the increase in the population of thiol tautomer in ⁴S when paired to G does not justify a change in the pairing scheme, which would explain a significant alteration in the relative stability of G·⁴S vs A·⁴S pairings. On the basis of these theoretical findings, we can suggest that thioketothymines can be safely used as mimics of T in DNA, thus leading to nucleic acids with improved chemical possibilities and without altering the DNA fidelity and integrity.

Experimental Validation. Theoretical calculations described above are expected to be accurate enough as to support our claims, but to further check the goodness of our theoretically derived conclusions a series of additional experiments were conducted. As noted in Methods, several oligos containing thymines and thicketothymines paired with both G and A were synthesized using solid-phase 2-cyanoethylphosphoramidite chemistry. The required synthons to incorporate 2-thioketothymidine and 4-thioketothymidine into oligodeoxynucleotides were obtained from commercial sources.^{17a,36} Since 4-thioketothymidine is labile to ammonia,^{17a} the dimethylformamidine group was selected for the protection of the 2'-deoxyguanosine. Ammonia treatment was performed either at room temperature for 24 h or at 55 °C for 1 h to minimize decomposition of the 4-thioketothymidine residue. Also we studied the use of 50 mM NaSH or the removal of the 2-cyanoethyl group with 1 M 1.8diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) solution in acetonitrile at room temperature for 3 h. In all cases the desired oliognucleotide was obtained as the major compound. The resulting oligonucleotides were purified by HPLC and gave the expected

⁽³⁶⁾ Kuimelis, R. G.; Nambiar, K. P. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994, 22, 1429– 1436.

Table 7. Thermodynamic Parameters of Double-Stranded DNA to Single-Stranded DNA Transition^a

basepair	ΔH (kcal/mol)	ΔS (cal/K \cdot mol)	ΔG (kcal/mol)	T _m (°C)
Т•А	-120.5	-344.4	-13.7	52.8
Т•С	-109	-321.5	-9.3	40.5
T•G	-107.8	-311.4	-11.2	45.9
Т•Т	-99.7	-291.8	-9.1	39.5
² S·A	-113.8	-323.7	-13.4	51.8
² S·C	-80.0	-221.7	-8.2	37.0
${}^{2}S \cdot G$	-107.8	-311.4	-11.2	45.9
$^{2}S \cdot T$	-105.6	-306.8	-10.4	44.1
⁴ S•A	-110.7	-313.7	-12.5	50.9
⁴ S·C	-95.1	-297.2	-8.5	38.1
⁴ S•G	-104.0	-301.9	-10.4	43.5
⁴ S•T	-95.6	-286.8	-8.6	38.2

^{*a*} Duplex sequence: 5'-GCAATGGAXCCTCTA-3'/3'-CGTTACCTYG-GAGAT-5', X = T, ²S, ⁴S; Y = A, G, C, T). Conditions: 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0.

molecular weight by mass spectrometry, confirming that the desired nucleobases were successfully introduced into the oligos.

Though different oligos were synthesized and tested, only results obtained for the pentadecamer sequence (5'-GCAATG-GAXCCTCTA-3'/3'-CGTTACCTYGGAGAT-5', X = T, ²S, and ${}^{4}S$; Y = A, G, C, T) are displayed here (results for the other sequences are available upon request to authors). Melting temperatures (T_m) and thermodynamic parameters of the different duplex are shown in Table 7. It is clear that the most stable duplex was that containing at $d(X \cdot Y)$ position an $A \cdot T$ base pair ($\Delta G = -13.7$ kcal/mol), and the T·G pair ($\Delta G =$ -11.2 kcal/mol) is the most stable of the T mismatches ($\Delta G =$ -9.1 (T·T) and -9.3 (T·C) kcal/mol). The difference in stability between A·T and G·T pairs found experimentally agree reasonably well with previous experimental and current theoretical estimates (see Table 3 and refs 37 and 38). The most stable pairing for ²S also involves A ($\Delta G = -13.4$ kcal/mol), followed by the mismatch with G ($\Delta G = -11.2$ kcal/mol). Thus, the presence of ²S has small impact in the stability of either canonical pairing with A or mismatch with G, in agreement with theoretical (and previous experimental) data (see Table 5 and ref 18). The most stable pair for ⁴S is also formed with A $(\Delta G = -12.5 \text{ kcal/mol})$, followed by the mismatch with G (ΔG = -10.4 kcal/mol). As suggested by theoretical calculations, ⁴S does not change the pairing scheme of DNA, and 4-thioketothymine when introduced into the DNA largely prefers to bind A, the mismatch with G being clearly less stable. Present experimental measures cannot directly rule out the involvement of thiol tautomers in the duplex formation in presence of thioketothymines, but the agreement between theoretical and

- (37) Eritja, R.; Horowit, D. M.; Walker, P. A.; Ziehler-Martin, J. P.; Boosalis, M. S.; Goodman, M. F.; Itakura, K.; Kaplan, B. E. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1986**, *14*, 8135–8153.
- (38) Aboul-ela, F.; Koh, D.; Tinoco Jr, I.; Martin, F. H. Nucleic Acids Res. 1985, 13, 4811–4824.
- (39) Hughesman, C. B.; Turner, R. F.; Haynes, C. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 2008, 245–246.
- (40) Kool, E. T.; Morales, J. C.; Guckian, K. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 990–1009.
- (41) Piccirilli, J. A.; Krauch, T.; Moroney, S.; Benner, S. *Nature* **1990**, *343*, 33–37.
- (42) Henry, A. A.; Olsen, A. G.; Matsuda, S.; Yu, C.; Geierstanger, B. H.; Romesberg, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6923–6931.
- (43) Strobel, H.; Dugue, L.; Marliere, P.; Pochet, S. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002, 30, 1869–1878.
- (44) Seela, F.; Thomas, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 94-108.
- (45) Froehler, B. C.; Wadwani, S.; Terhorst, T. J.; Gerrard, S. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5307–5310.

experimental data makes very difficult to believe that thiol tautomers might play something else than a residual role in ${}^{4}S \cdot G$ mismatches.

Conclusions

Combination of high-level quantum mechanical calculations with "state of the art" molecular dynamics and free energy calculations, complemented with experimental measures, allowed us to draw a complete picture of the tautomeric and binding properties of thioketothymines. It is found that keto/thioketo tautomers are the prevalent ones for both thymine and thioketothymine in the gas phase, the situation being mostly unaltered in water. When inserted into a DNA duplex, thioketothymines induces small changes in structure and stability. A guanine paired to thymine or thioketothymine help to stabilize minor enol/thiol forms, but this effect is not large enough to change the tautomeric preferences of the pyrimidines considered here. Overall, our theoretical results, confirmed by experimental measures, support aquite classical behavior for thioketothymines, which are incorporated in

- (46) Povsic, T. J.; Dervan, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3059-3061.
- (47) Heystek, L. E.; Zhou, H.; Dande, P.; Gold, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12165–12166.
- (48) Altmann, K. H.; Freier, S. M.; Pieles, U.; Winkler, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 1654–1657.
- (49) Epple, C.; Leumann, C. Chem. Biol. 1998, 5, 209-216.
- (50) Matteucci, M. D.; von Krosigk, U. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 5057-
- 5060.
- (51) Gryaznov, S.; Schultz, R. G. Tetrahedron Lett. **1994**, 35, 2489–2492.
- (52) Lin, K. Y.; Matteucci, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8531-8532.
- (53) Gutierrez, Terhorst, T. J.; Matteucci, M. D.; Froehler, B. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 5540–5544.
- (54) Gutierrez, A. J.; Froehler, B. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3959– 3962.
- (55) Seela, F.; Debelak, H. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000, 28, 3224-3232.
- (56) Seela, F.; Becher, G. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001, 29, 2069–2078.
- (57) Mikhailov, S. N.; Rozenski, J.; Efimtseva, E. V.; Busson, R. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002, 30, 1124–1131.
- (58) Reddy, P. M.; Bruice, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3736– 3747.
- (59) Tor, Y.; Dervan, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4461-4467.
- (60) Moran, S.; Rex, X. F. R.; Rumney IV, S.; Kool, E. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2056–2057.
- (61) Moran, S.; Ren, R. X. F.; Kool, E. T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1997, 94, 10506–10511.
- (62) Schweitzer, B. A.; Kool, E. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1863– 1872.
- (63) Yu, C.; Henry, A. A.; Romesberg, F. E.; Schultz, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3841–3844.
- (64) Ogawa, A. K.; Wu, Y.; McMinn, D. L.; Liu, J.; Schultz, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3274–3287.
- (65) McMinn, D. L.; Ogawa, A. K.; Wu, Y.; Liu, J.; Schultz, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11585–11586.
- (66) Kong, P.; Lin, T.; Brown, D. M. Nucleic Acids Res. 1992, 20, 5149.
- (67) Vallone, P. M.; Benight, A. S. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999, 27, 3589– 3596.
- (68) Anand, N. N.; Brown, D. M.; Salisbury, S. A. Nucleic Acids Res. 1987, 15, 8167–8176.
- (69) Goodman, M. F. Nature 1995, 378, 260-263.
- (70) Goodman, M. F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1997, 94, 10493-10495.
- (71) Nishio, H.; Ono, A.; Matsuda, A.; Ueda, T. Nucleic Acids Res. 1992, 20, 777–782.
- (72) Hazra, T. K.; Izumi, T.; Boldogh, I.; Imhoff, B.; Kow, Y. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 3523–3528.
- (73) Bruner, S. D.; Norman, D. P. G.; Verdine, G. L. Nature 2000, 403, 859–866.
- (74) Xu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wu, H. C.; Massey, A.; Karran, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 995–997.
- (75) Kutyavin, I. V.; Rhinehart, R. L.; Lukhtanov, E. A.; Gorn, V. V. *Biochemistry* **1996**, *35*, 11170–11176.
- (76) Diop-Frimpong, B.; Prakash, T. P.; Rajeev, K. G. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005, 33, 5297–5307.
- (77) Appel, C. D.; Maxwell, E. S. RNA 2007, 13, 899-911.

canonical forms into DNA, introducing small changes in structure, stability, and fidelity properties of the duplex, which make them excellent surrogates of thymines for deriving nucleic acids with improved chemical properties and with interesting pharmacological profiles.

- (78) Connolly, B. A. Methods Enzymol. 1992, 211, 36-53.
- (79) Leumann, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2002, 10, 841-854.
- (80) Kool, E. T. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2000, 4, 602-608.
- (81) Kim, T. W.; Kool, E. T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2048–2053.
- (82) Wiseman, H.; Halliwell, B. *Biochem. J.* **1996**, *313*, 17–29.
- (83) Krueger, A. T.; Kool, E. T. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2007**, *11*, 588–594.
- (84) Rae, P. M.; Steele, R. E. Bio. Systems 1978, 10, 37-53.
- (85) Petruska, J.; Goodman, M. F.; Boosalis, M. S.; Sowers, L. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1988, 85, 6252–6256.
- (86) Luque, F. J.; Bachs, M.; Aleman, C.; Orozco, M. J. Comput. Chem. 1996, 17, 806–820.
- (87) Luque, F. J.; Zhang, Y.; Aleman, C.; Bachs, M.; Gao, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 4269–4276.

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (BIO2006-01602, CONSOLIDER Project in Supercomputation, BFU2007-63287), the Spanish Ministry of Health (COMBIOMED network), the Fundación Marcelino Botín, and the National Institute of Bioinformatics.

Supporting Information Available: Correlations between quantum mehanics and molecular dynamics calculations, variation of helical parameters, and rms deviations profiles along trajectories and futile cycles derived from averaging free energy estimates. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA904880Y